ISTRICT OF TE		05 JUN 15 PM 3:01
))))) No.	03-2649-	ROBERT G. DI TROLIO CLERK, U.S. DIST. CT. W.D. OF TH, MEMPHIS
•		
)))) No.	04-2432-	B/An
	DIVISION DIVISION	ATES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF TENNESSEE I DIVISION No. 03-2649 No. 04-2432- No. 04-2432- No. 04-2432- No. 04-2432-

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

Before the Court is Plaintiff OneBeacon American Insurance Company's ("OneBeacon") Motion for Attorney's Fees, which is incorporated in Plaintiff's motion to compel, filed on January 18, 2005, (dkt #29), and in Plaintiff's response to Defendant JACO

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and/or 79(a) FRCP on ________

Airfield Construction, Inc.'s ("JACO") appeal from the order granting the Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery. Defendant filed its response on May 20, 2005. For the following reasons, the motion is DENIED.

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff served its First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents on JACO on September 21, 2004. JACO served its responses on Plaintiff on January 15, 2005. Plaintiff contends that JACO's responses were inadequate because they referred Plaintiff to depositions and other documents. In its response, JACO asserted that the burden in producing the documents and answering the interrogatories was the same for JACO as it was for the Plaintiff, and therefore, JACO's discovery responses were adequate because they properly referred Plaintiff to records where discovery answers could have been ascertained. On March 1, 2005, Magistrate Judge Anderson granted the Plaintiff's motion to compel and held that when answering interrogatories and document requests the responding party can refer to depositions and other documents, but the response must adopt and incorporate the deposition testimony and state the exact page and line where the information can be found. JACO objected to the order and filed an appeal with the District Judge. On April 26, 2005, the District Judge affirmed Judge Anderson's order and referred the Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees to this Magistrate Judge. The Plaintiff seeks an

award of reasonable attorney's fees incurred by its counsel in moving to compel and in responding to JACO's objections to Judge Anderson's order.

II. ANALYSIS

Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure states that if a motion to compel is granted "the court shall . . . require the party or deponent whose conduct necessitated the motion or the party or attorney advising such conduct or both of them to pay the moving party the reasonable expenses incurred in making the motion, including attorney's fees unless the court finds that . . . the opposing party's nondisclosure, response, or objection was substantially justified, or that other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(4)(A). In the original motion to compel, the Plaintiff argued that in order for JACO's responses to the interrogatories and production requests to be adequate, the answers must be complete in themselves and may not refer to depositions or other documents. JACO argued in response to the motion to compel that the given answers were adequate because they properly referred the Plaintiff to records where the desired information could be obtained. In granting the motion to compel, Judge Anderson held that references to depositions and other documents are permissible if the responding specifically identifies the page and line numbers where the responsive information can be found. Therefore, because there was

a reasonable dispute as to the specificity required of the responses, JACO's objection to the motion to compel was substantially justified. In addition, the Court is not inclined, under the circumstances of this particular case, to sanction JACO for exercising its right to appeal.

III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Court DENIES the motion for attorney's fees. IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States Magistrate Judge

June 15, 2005



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 45 in case 2:03-CV-02649 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on June 16, 2005 to the parties listed.

Molly Glover Crain LEITNER WILLIAMS DOOLEY & NAPOLITAN 254 Court Ave. Second Floor Memphis, TN 38103

James W. Cook CARD COOK & HOLT 1707 Kirby Parkway Ste. 200 Memphis, TN 38119

Oscar C. Carr GLANKLER BROWN, PLLC One Commerce Square Suite 1700 Memphis, TN 38103

James W. Bruner BROWN HAY & STEPHENS 205 S. 5th St. Ste. 700 Springfield, IL 62705--245

R. Grattan Brown GLANKLER BROWN, PLLC One Commerce Square Suite 1700 Memphis, TN 38103

Jerry B. McNally MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE, LLP 303 Peachtree St., NE Ste. 5300 Atlanta, GA 30308 William O. Luckett

ROSSIE LUCKETT PARKER & RIDDER

1669 Kirby Pkwy

Ste. 106

Memphis, TN 38120

J. Brook Lathram

BURCH PORTER & JOHNSON

130 N. Court Avenue

Memphis, TN 38103

Jennifer M. Bermel

ROSSIE LUCKETT PARKER & RIDDER

1669 Kirby Pkwy

Ste. 106

Memphis, TN 38120

Jeremy G. Alpert

GLANKLER BROWN

One Commerce Sq.

Ste. 1700

Memphis, TN 38103

Alan B. Easterly

LEITNER WILLIAMS DOOLEY & NAPOLITAN

801 Broad St.

Third Floor

Chattanooga, TN 37402--262

Stephen D. Crawley

BURCH PORTER & JOHNSON

130 N. Court Avenue

Memphis, TN 38103

Frank B. Thacher

BURCH PORTER & JOHNSON PLLC

130 N. Court Ave.

Memphis, TN 38103

Jennifer A. Sink

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ

165 Madison Ave.

Ste. 2000

Memphis, TN 38103

R. Alan Pritchard

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ

165 Madison Ave.

Ste. 2000

Memphis, TN 38103

Larry Montgomery GLANKLER BROWN, PLLC One Commerce Square Suite 1700 Memphis, TN 38103

Honorable J. Breen US DISTRICT COURT